

Please DO NOT ACCEPT RM-10352. I'm against for the following reasons:

1.) A division of narrow and wide modes would give privileges to use of narrow modes, including digital modes of the future against SSB modes. CW will have all the band when SSB users will have only part of the band. This is unfair and will benefit only a very small group of DX'ers and contesting guys.

CW users will move down NOW but will have under the rulemaking, privileges all over the band and a " special" right when all modes and users in ham radio MUST have the same privileges. Rulemaking of the RM-10352 is unfair under any kind of circumstances.

2.) A bandplan will originate More FCC involvement and resources used for 160-meters because it would cause more disputes and conflicts between operators asking for FCC involvement in a band that is JUST used by a very small group of people since the normal amateur do not have conditions to build the antennas needed for this band (reception and transmission) and is just a privilege of a few.

5.)160-meters can't have the same basic structure as other bands below since there are less users, is noisy, is a night time band only and used ONLY (mainly) in winter time.

We , (a big 160 meters users in Florida) have lots of noise all year around, and the banplan would be a big disadvantage not to mention again the troubles with the Canadians users who are in a different bandplan. Who can say that Canadians will respect OUR bandplan? A " private" Canadian band is other problem that will create the rulemaking of RM-10352 since Canadians are not affected by FCC rules or US laws.

As somebody said ..."if is not broken why fix it?..."

Thanks

Louis Cruz, N4LDG